1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 7 JACK FERM, Plaintiff, 8 Case No. 2:12-cv-00782-GMN-PAL 9 VS. **ORDER** (Mtn to Strike - Dkt. #51) 10 COLLEEN MCCARTY, et al., Defendants. 11 12 13 14 15 Defendants seek an order striking Plaintiff's Supplement (Dkt. #50) to his Opposition (Dkt. 16 17

This matter is before the court on Defendants' Colleen McCarty's, KLAS, LLC's, and Steve Kanigher's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Supplement to His Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #51); Plaintiff Jack Ferm's Response (Dkt. #66); and Defendants' Reply (Dkt. #85).

#18) to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #10) because supplemental briefs are not permitted by the Local Rules or by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On January 28, 2013, the undersigned entered an Order denying Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice (Dkt. #11) and a Report of Findings and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss be granted and Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (Dkt. #5) be dismissed with leave to amend. See Order and R&R (Dkt. #109). The court found that Plaintiff had not established the court's subject matter jurisdiction or complied with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. That Order and R&R addressed the impropriety of Plaintiff's Supplement. See Order and R&R at 1 & n. 1. A motion, response, and reply are allowed. Parties are not allowed to keep filing papers in a never-ending quest to get in the last word.

/// 27

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 ///

## Case 2:12-cv-00782-RFB-PAL Document 125 Filed 01/31/13 Page 2 of 2

For the reasons set forth more fully in the Order and R&R, IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Strike (Dkt. #51) is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's Supplement (Dkt. #50) is STRICKEN. Dated this 29th day of January, 2013. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE